

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

**REMARKS**

1. Amendment of Claims:


In the above referenced Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lin (5,935,282). Claims 1, 5, and 6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Behl (6,319,116).

Claims 1 and 8 were objected to due to spelling errors . Claims 7-10 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response, the spelling errors are hereby corrected, (see Claims 7, 8 and 9). Claims 7 has been amended to independent form and includes all of the limitations of Claims 1, 3 and 4. Claim 9 has been amended to independent form and includes all of the limitations of Claims 1 and 2. Claim 10 has been amended to independent form and includes all of the limitations of Claims 1, 2, and 3.

The Applicant submits that Claims 7-10 have been rewritten to overcome the Examiner's objections. The application is now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

  
DEAN A. CRAINE

Reg. No. 33,591

Attorney for Applicant